

TARH 2016 – The University of Tennessee at Knoxville – Saturday, January 30, 2016

Combined Boardroom

- 1. Call to Order – 9:05 am**
- 2. Appointment of Sergeant at Arms and Parliamentarian.**
- 3. Roll Call**
 - a. ETSU
 - b. MTSU – Not Present
 - c. UTC
 - d. UTK
 - e. UTM
 - f. Cumberland - Left early
 - g. TTU
 - h. TARH State Board
- 4. Approval of the Combined Boardroom Agenda**
- 5. Reading of Minutes**
 - a. SAACURH 2015 State Breakout
 - b. TARH 2015 – reading waved
- 6. Legislation**
 - a. Cancellation Policy
 - i. Presentation – 10 minutes
 - ii. Q/A – 5 Minutes
 - iii. Discussion – 5 minutes
 - iv. Voting – 6-0-0; all voting in favor
 - v. Legislation passes.
 - b. TARH Board and Conference Chair
 - i. Presentation – 10 minutes
 - ii. Q/A – 5 minutes
 - iii. Pro/Con – 5 minutes
 - iv. Voting – 5-0-0; all voting in favor
 - v. Legislation
 - c. AD-Finance and State Communications; Move to - UTC – 2nd from TTU
 - i. Presentation – 10 minutes; Call to Question – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
 - ii. Voting – 5-0-0; All voting in favor
 - iii. Legislation passes
 - d. AD-Recognition; Move to – UTC - 2nd from UTK
 - i. Presentation – 10 minutes
 - ii. Move to bring Cracker Jack Award legislation – UTC – 2nd from UTK
 - e. Cracker Jack Award
 - i. Presentation – 10 minutes
 - ii. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – UTK – 2nd from UTC

- iii. Discussion – 5 minutes; Call to question – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
- iv. Voting – 5-0-0; All voting in favor
- v. Legislation passes

- f. AD-Recognition brought back to the floor; UTC – 2nd from ETSU
 - i. Discussion – 10 minutes
 - ii. Q/A – 5 minutes; end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 - iii. Discussion – 5 minutes; end – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
 - iv. Voting – 5-0-0; All voting in favor
 - v. Legislation passes

7. Move to Groove for 5 minutes – ETSU – 2nd from UTK

8. Awards

- a. Program OTY
 - i. ETSU
 - 1. Discussion – 10 minutes
 - 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; end – ETSU – 2nd TTU
 - 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Getting organization’s name out – TTU
 - b. Pro- Increased General body membership – UTC
 - c. Pro- Focused on getting residents involved – ETSU
 - ii. UTK
 - 1. Discussion – 10 minutes
 - 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; end – ETSU – 2nd from TTU
 - 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro- Builds community between complexes – UTC
 - b. Pro – Recognizes work of students – UTK
 - c. Con – Expensive - ETSU
 - d. Pro – Adaptable – TTU
 - iii. Discussion – 10 minutes
 - 1. Whereas: UTK’s event is once per semester and ETSU’s can be done anytime. – ETSU
 - 2. Move to end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 - iv. Voting
- b. Advisor OTY; moved by ETSU – 2nd from UTC
 - i. ETSU
 - 1. Discussion – 10 minutes
 - 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – ETSU, 2nd from UTC
 - 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Has Served ETSU for over 5 years. – ETSU
 - b. Pro – Really involved on ETSU’s campus. – UTC
 - c. Pro – Cares about her residents. – TTU
 - ii. Voting
- c. Student OTY, moved by UTC – 2nd from ETSU
 - i. ETSU

1. Presentation– 10 minutes
2. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – UTC – 2nd from UTM
3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Involved in many different areas of Res Life. -UTC
 - b. Pro – Gotten other students involved on campus. – ETSU
- ii. UTK
 1. Presentation – 10 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – UTC – 2nd from UTM
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Extensive commitment to Res Life. - UTK
 - b. Pro – Outgoing personality. – TTU
 - c. Pro – Brings positivity to work. – UTK
- iii. Discussion – 10 minutes
 1. Whereas: Grace has involvement in Res Life, Jasmine has involvement in Res Life and other areas on campus.
 2. Move to end – TTU – 2nd from UTM
- iv. Voting
- d. NCC OTY; bring to the floor UTC – 2nd from TTU
 - i. ETSU
 1. Presentation – 10 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Well experienced NCC. – TTU
 - b. Pro – Dedicated to delegation and job. – ETSU
 - c. Pro – Earned several awards for herself and delegation. - UTC
 - ii. Voting
- e. 5-minute recess; ETSU – 2nd from UTC
- f. School OTY; bring to the floor – UTK – 2nd from ETSU
 - i. ETSU
 1. Presentation – 10 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Bid makes ETSU stand out. - ETSU
 - b. Pro – Involved with other organizations. – TTU
 - c. Pro – Doesn't let failure define them. – ETSU
 - ii. Voting

9. Slideshow of Board Positions

10. Recess for lunch – ETSU – 2nd from TTU

11. Boardroom back in Session – 1:55 pm

12. Bids

- a. Director; bring to the floor – ETSU – 2nd from TTU
 - i. Rebekah Cisney

1. Presentation – 10 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 Minutes; end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Has represented ETSU at the state, regional, and national level. – ETSU
 - b. Con – Lots of hours committed to other things. – UTM
 - c. Pro – Organized - TTU
 - d. Pro – Clear goals. – ETSU
 4. Voting
- b. Advisor; move – ETSU – 2nd by UTM
- i. Jon Mitchell
 1. Presentation – 10 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes, move to end – UTK – 2nd from ETSU
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Experienced. – UTC
 - b. Pro – Served institution at state, regional, and national levels. – ETSU
 - c. Pro- Goal oriented. – TTU
 4. Voting
- c. Associate Advisor; bring bids from the floor – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
- i. Nicole Nicholson
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Past experience. – UTK
 - b. Pro – Multiple conference experience. – TTU
 - c. Pro – Specific goals. – UTC
 4. Voting
- d. AD-Publication; bring bids to the floor – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
- i. Jessup Peterson
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes, end – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
 - ii. Andrew Giles
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes, end – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
 - iii. Discussion, moved to by TTU – 2nd by UTC
 1. Whereas Andrew has experience with web design, Jessup does not. – ETSU
 2. Whereas Jessup has experience within organizations, Andrew does not. - TTU
 3. Whereas Jessup works within a traditional residence hall, Andrew works within a non-traditional residence hall. - UTC
 4. Whereas Jessup has prior obligations, Andrew does not. – UTK

5. Whereas Jessup is an RA, Andrew is not. -TTU
 6. Whereas Jessup is not a first year student, Andrew is. – UTK
 7. Whereas Jessup is an RA, Andrew is a first year student who is a president of a first year complex council. – UTC
 8. Moved to end – UTC – 2nd by UTK
- iv. Voting – Andrew Giles elected to position.
- e. AD-Finance and State Communications, bring bids from the floor – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
- i. Justin Gordon
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes, end by ETSU – 2nd from UTC
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Business and Finance background. - ETSU
 - b. Pro – RHA experience. – TTU
 - c. Pro – Driven and dedicated to TARH. – ETSU
 - ii. Trey Rollins
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Extensive budgeting knowledge. – UTK
 - b. Cons – Thinks the only way to correct lack of funds is to cut funds in other areas. – ETSU
 - c. Pro – Clearly committed to campus RHA. – UTC
 - d. Con – Limited housing conference experience. – ETSU.
 - e. Pro – Well organized all around. – UTK
 - iii. Discussion
 1. Whereas Justin has attended two state conferences, Trey has not. – ETSU.
 2. Whereas with lack of funds Justin would fund raise, and Trey would cut funds. – UTM
 3. Whereas Trey addressed the public in the event of a budget deficit, Justin did not. – UTK
 4. Whereas Justin made it known that he has experience with planning events with a small budget, Trey did not address it. – TTU
 5. Whereas Trey stated he was able to alter a budget to fit program needs, Justin did not address. – UTK
 6. End discussion – UTK – 2nd from ETSU
 - iv. Voting – Justin Gordon elected to position.
- f. Move to Grove for 5 minutes by ETSU – 2nd by TTU
- g. AD-Recognition; Bring bids from the floor – UTC – 2nd from TTU
- i. Jessup Peterson
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes

2. Q/A – 5 minutes; moved to end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
3. Pro/Con
 - a. Pro – Aware of the impact that recognition plays. – UTC
 - b. Pro – Thought of ways to recognize other than crackerjack awards. - ETSU.
 - c. Pro – Already given recognition to residents as an RA. – UTC
- ii. Trey Rollins
 1. Presentation – 5 minutes
 2. Q/A – 5 minutes; move to end – ETSU – 2nd from UTK
 3. Pro/Con
 - a. Con – Didn't talk about how he recognizes at his university. – ETSU
 - b. Pro – Extensive background of recognition at a corporate level. – UTK
 - c. Con – Did not give examples of other ways to recognize. – ETSU
 - d. Pro – Recognizes motivating factors that influence recognition. – UTC
 - e. Pro – Recognized a way to boost attendance through recognition. – UTK
- iii. Discussion
 1. Whereas Jessup related recognition to his residents, Trey related recognition to his internship. – ETSU
 2. Whereas Jessup gave specific other ways to recognize, Trey did not. – ETSU
 3. Whereas Jessup gave examples of recognition, Trey tied his goals of recognition to TARH. – UTC
 4. Call to question – ETSU – 2nd from UTC
- iv. Voting – Jessup Peterson elected to position.
- h. TARH 2017
 - i. No bids prepared and bidding from the floor not allowed.
 - ii. Tabled until No Frills – ETSU – 2nd from UTC

13. Adjourn until state breakout at No Frills 2016. – 4:05 pm